UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,777	02/04/2004	Mark B. Orton	1041.2.4	5171
36491 7590 KUNZLER & ASS			EXAM	IINER
8 EAST BROADW			KWIECINSI	KI, RYAN D
SUITE 600 SALT LAKE CITY	7. UT 84111		ART UNIT.	PAPER NUMBER
	.,		3635	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PE	RIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE .
3 MONTH	IS .	02/05/2007	· PAI	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary 10/771,777
Ryan D. Kwiecinski The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limitly filled after SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 22 November 2006. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filled on 04 February 2004 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply within be set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 November 2006. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 7.9 and 13 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 7.9 and 13 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 2.20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 04 February 2004 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The o
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. • Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely field after SIX (6) MONTHS from the enaling date of this communication. • If INO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and the spire SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. • Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 22 November 2006. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 3.9 and 13 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 3.9 and 13 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/apand 13 is/are objected to. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 04 February 2004 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.185(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or for
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 oFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is periodid above, the mainimum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 135). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 22 November 2006. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected to is/are withdrawn from consideration. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filled on 04 February 2004 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (a) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuto, period will apply and will expire SIX (a) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 November 2006. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) and 13 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 04 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Actio
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 November 2006. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) 7.9 and 13 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 04 February 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) 7.9 and 13 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 04 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7.9 and 13 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 February 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 04 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
A) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 7.9 and 13 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 04 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 7.9 and 13 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 04 February 2004 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) are subjected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 04 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7,9 and 13 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 04 February 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 7,9 and 13 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 04 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
7) Claim(s) 7.9 and 13 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 February 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 February 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 February 2004</u> is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 February 2004</u> is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 February 2004</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
·
12) Aoknowledament is made of a claim for foreign printity little: 33 U 3 U 9 I Izital*UU ULU.
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/11/2004. 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) ☑ Other: Exhibit X.

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election without traverse of Species I in the reply filed on November 22, 2006 is acknowledged. Claims 1-20 were examined in this action.

Claim Objections

Claims 7,9, and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 7, line 1: "bracing member" should be -bracing device--.

Claim 9, line 3: "couple" appears it should be -coupled--.

Claim 13, line 4: "a plurality of of" should be -a plurality of--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4-13, and 15-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,507,118 to Brown.

Claim 1:

Brown teaches a bracing device comprising:

Art Unit: 3635

a plurality of bracing members (23,25,37, Fig.2), each bracing member having an adjustable length (Column 2, lines 8-11);

a coupling device configured to couple the bracing members together (45,47, Fig.2); and

wherein the coupling device allows the bracing members to support an enclosed geometric shape.

Claim 2:

Brown teaches the bracing device of claim 1, wherein each bracing member further comprises a first and second end (ends near the corners of the board, Fig.2).

Claim 4:

Brown teaches the bracing device of claim 2, wherein each bracing member comprises an elongated hollow member (23, 25, Fig.3) having a first telescoping member configured to extend outward from the first end of each bracing member and second telescoping member configured to extend outward from the second end of each bracing member (37, Fig.3).

Claim 5:

Brown teaches the bracing device of claim 4, wherein the first and second telescoping members each further comprise a plurality of locking pins (41, Fig.3) configured to maintain an extended position of the first and second telescoping member with respect to the corresponding bracing member.

Claim 6:

Art Unit: 3635

Brown teaches the bracing device of claim 1, wherein each bracing member further comprises a plurality of holes configured to receive the coupling device (43, Fig.3).

Claim 7:

Brown teaches the bracing member of claim 4, wherein the first telescoping member is configured to extend and maintain a desired distance from the first end and the second telescoping member is configured to extend and maintain substantially the same distance from the second end as the desired distance (Column 2, lines 8-11, Fig.2).

Claim 8:

Brown teaches the bracing device of claim 1, wherein the coupling device is further configured to couple the plurality of bracing members such that the bracing members are aligned with each other. The coupling device can be loosened allowing the members to rotate completely and align with each other.

Claim 9:

Brown teaches the bracing device of claim 1, wherein the coupling device is configured to permanently couple the bracing members (The coupling device is a bolt, Column 3, lines 33-34), the coupling device configured such that each coupled bracing member is individually positonable.

Claim 10:

Art Unit: 3635

Brown teaches the bracing device of claim 1, wherein each bracing member further comprises a hole located at the longitudinal center of the bracing member (43, Fig.3), the hole configured to receive the coupling device.

Claim 11:

Brown teaches the bracing device of claim 11, wherein the coupling device is configured to couple the bracing members such that the bracing members are rotatable through a 360-degree range around the coupling device. The coupling device can be loosened allowing the members to rotate completely and align with each other.

Claim 12:

Brown teaches the bracing device of claim 1, wherein the coupling device is further configured to couple a first bracing member and a second bracing member to maintain a substantially perpendicular relationship between the first bracing member and the second bracing member (Column 3, lines 64-67).

Claim 13:

Brown teaches a method for bracing and supporting an enclosed geometric shape, the method comprising:

providing a bracing device comprising

a plurality of bracing members (23,25, 37, Fig.2), each bracing member having an adjustable length (Column 2, lines 8-11),

Art Unit: 3635

a coupling device (45,47, Fig.3) that couples the bracing members together and allows the bracing members to support substantially all sides of an enclosed geometric shape;

adjusting the length of one of the bracing members to substantially the same length as a diameter of two opposing sides of the enclosed geometric shape (Column 2, line 8-11);

installing the first bracing member between the two opposing sides;

repeating the length adjustment and installation of additional bracing members of the bracing device until substantially all the sides of the enclosed geometric shape are supported by at least one bracing member of the bracing device (Column 2, lines 1-11).

Claim 15:

Brown teaches the method of claim 13, wherein adjusting the length of each bracing member further comprises extending a first telescoping member configured to extend outward from the first end of each bracing member (Column 2, lines 1-11).

Claim 16:

Brown teaches the method of claim 13, wherein adjusting the length of each bracing member further comprises extending a second telescoping member configured to extend outward from the second end of each bracing member (Column 2, lines 1-11).

Art Unit: 3635

Claim 17:

Brown teaches the method of claim 13, further comprising maintaining an extended position of the first and second telescoping member (Column 2, lines 8-11).

Claim 18:

Brown teaches the method of claim 13, further comprising rotating the bracing members about the coupling device through a 360-degree range (The coupling device allows the members to pivot and rotate, with a loosened device the members will rotate 360 degrees.

Claim 19:

Brown teaches the method of claim 13, further comprising maintaining a substantially perpendicular relationship between a first and second bracing member (Column 3, lines 64-66).

Claim 20:

Brown teaches a bracing apparatus, the apparatus comprising:

means for coupling a plurality of members together (45,47, Fig.3);

means for supporting substantially all sides of an enclosed

geometric shape (23,25, 37, Fig.2); and

means for adjusting the length of a bracing member to substantially the same length as a diameter of two opposing sides of the enclosed geometric shape (Column 2, lines 1-11).

Art Unit: 3635

Claims 1-3 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 2000179182 A to Ichikawa.

Claim 1:

Ichikawa teaches a bracing device comprising:

a plurality of bracing members (A,B, Exhibit X), each bracing member having an adjustable length;

a coupling device (C, Exhibit X) configured to couple the bracing members together; and

wherein the coupling device allows the bracing members to support an enclosed geometric shape.

Claim 2:

Ichikawa teaches the bracing device of claim 1, wherein each bracing member further comprises a first and second end (D, E, Exhibit X).

Claim 3:

Ichikawa teaches the bracing device of claim 2, further comprising a plurality of articulating feet (F, Exhibit X), each bracing member having a first articulating foot connected to the first end, and a second articulating foot connected to the second end.

Claim 13:

Brown teaches a method for bracing and supporting an enclosed geometric shape, the method comprising:

providing a bracing device comprising

Art Unit: 3635

a plurality of bracing members (A, B, Exhibit X), each bracing member having an adjustable length,

a coupling device (C, Exhibit X) that couples the bracing members together and allows the bracing members to support substantially all sides of an enclosed geometric shape;

adjusting the length of one of the bracing members to substantially the same length as a diameter of two opposing sides of the enclosed geometric shape (D, Exhibit X);

installing the first bracing member between the two opposing sides;

repeating the length adjustment and installation of additional bracing members of the bracing device until substantially all the sides of the enclosed geometric shape are supported by at least one bracing member of the bracing device (E, Exhibit X).

Claim 14:

Brown teaches the method of claim 13, further comprising articulating a plurality of feet (F, Exhibit X), each bracing member having a first articulating foot attached to the first end, and a second articulating foot attached to a second end.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan D. Kwiecinski whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 3635

(571)272-5160. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on (571)272-6842. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert Canfield
Primary Examiner

